COPY

JUN 2 9 2006

MICHAEL K. EANES CLERK

DEPUTY CLERK

TERRY GODDARD The Attorney General Firm No. 14000

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Christopher R. Houk State Bar No. 020843 Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division 1275 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Telephone: (602) 542-8606 Facsimile: (602) 542-8899 civilrights@azag.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

THE STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. TERRY GODDARD, the Attorney General, and THE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION OF THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF LAW,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DHL EXPRESS (USA), INC., a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

No. CV2006-009752

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

(Nonclassified Civil)

Plaintiff, by and through its attorneys undersigned, alleges and states, as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

This is an action under the Arizona Civil Rights Act ("ACRA"), A.R.S. § 41-1401, et seq., to correct unlawful employment practices related to sex and retaliation, to provide appropriate relief to aggrieved persons, and to vindicate the public interest.

Specifically, this matter is brought to redress the injury sustained by Jill Shumway ("Ms. Shumway") who was unlawfully discriminated against by Defendant.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1481(D).
- 2. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff Arizona Civil Rights Division of the Arizona Department of Law ("the Division") is an administrative agency of the State of Arizona established by A.R.S. § 41-1401 to enforce the provisions of the ACRA.
- 4. The Division brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of Ms. Shumway, an aggrieved person, who is female.
- 5. Defendant is a foreign corporation authorized and doing business in the State of Arizona and is in good standing.
- 6. At all relevant times, Ms. Shumway was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of A.R.S. § 41-1461(3).
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant is an employer within the meaning of A.R.S. § 41-1461(4).
- 8. Defendant is legally responsible for the acts or omissions giving rise to this cause of action and are legally and proximately responsible for damages as alleged pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1481.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9. Upon information and belief, in or around August 2002, Ms. Shumway began working for Defendant as a Sales Associate.
- 10. Starting in or around March 2003, Ms. Shumway became a Sales Representative and was paid approximately \$37,000.
- 11. In or around July 2004, Defendant hired Greg Powers and Patrick Van Den Berg.

- 13. Mr. Powers and Mr. Van Den Berg were Account Representatives who had comparable education and experience to Ms. Shumway.
- 14. Ms. Shumway brought the issue of the difference in salary to her supervisor Brian Cooper.
- 15. Mr. Cooper did not resolve the issue of the difference in salary in a manner that was satisfactory to Ms. Shumway.
- 16. Subsequently, Ms. Shumway filed a complaint of discrimination with Defendant's Human Resources.
  - 17. Defendant later raised Ms. Shumway's salary by approximately \$5,500.
- 18. However, raising Ms. Shumway's salary by approximately \$5,500 did not bring Ms. Shumway to parity with Mr. Powers and Mr. Van Den Berg.
- 19. In addition, Ms. Shumway's salary increase was not made retroactive to the date of the hire of Mr. Powers and Mr. Van Den Berg.
- 20. Following Ms. Shumway's filing of the complaint of discrimination with Defendant's Human Resources, Ms. Shumway successfully closed a major deal with one of the accounts on which she had been working.
- 21. At the time that Ms. Shumway successfully closed the major deal, Ms. Shumway was the only salesperson working for Defendant who was responsible for selling to or maintaining the relationship with the decision maker at that account's corporate headquarters.
- 22. Defendant's 2004 and 2005 Field Sales Compensation policy states that the salesperson responsible for selling to or maintaining the relationship with the decision maker at corporate headquarters is entitled to a "controlled credit" for both the revenue generated in their territory and the revenue generated through the customer's locations out of other territories.

- 23. Following Ms. Shumway's filing of the complaint of discrimination with Defendant's Human Resources, Defendant removed the controlled credit account number from Ms. Shumway in connection with the major deal she had closed.
- 24. Following Ms. Shumway's filing of the complaint of discrimination with Defendant's Human Resources, Defendant transferred the controlled credit account number for the major account on which Ms. Shumway was working to a National Account Manager for Defendant.
- 25. The National Account Manager did not have any contact with the decision maker's corporate headquarters for the major account on which Ms. Shumway was working.
  - 26. On or about November 5, 2005 Ms. Shumway resigned.
- 27. Ms. Shumway filed a timely charge of employment discrimination with the Division.
- 28. On or about June 1, 2006, the Division issued a Reasonable Cause Determination.
- 29. The Division found that reasonable cause existed to believe that Defendant violated the ACRA to the detriment of Ms. Shumway by discriminating against her because of her sex in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1) and by retaliating against her in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1464(A).
- 30. The Division has or shall attempt to eliminate the unlawful practices alleged in the Reasonable Cause Determination and to effect voluntary compliance with the ACRA through conciliation pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1481(D) for a period of at least 30 days.
- 31. Since the issuance of the reasonable cause finding by the Division, the parties to the above-referenced administrative complaint have not entered into a Conciliation Agreement.

### STATEMENT OF CLAIM

#### Count I

## [Discrimination in Violation of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1) Monetary Relief/ Injunctive Relief]

32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Complaint.

33. Under A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1), it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to discriminate against any individual with respect to their compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment because of such individual's sex.

34. By paying Ms. Shumway less than male employees in similar positions and with similar education and experience, Defendant unlawfully discriminated against Ms. Shumway in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1).

35. As a result of Defendant's unlawful discrimination, Ms. Shumway suffered monetary damages for which she should be compensated pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1481(G).

36. Further, as a result of Defendant's unlawful discrimination, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief under A.R.S. § 41-1481(G).

## Count II

# [Discrimination in Violation of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1464(A) – Monetary Relief/ Injunctive Relief]

37. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 36 of this Complaint.

38. Under A.R.S. § 41-1464(A), it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to discriminate against an employee because the employee has opposed any

practice which is an unlawful employment practice under the ACRA.

- 39. Ms. Shumway opposed Defendant's conduct which she reasonably perceived to be sex discrimination, prohibited by A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1), by filing a complaint with Defendant's Human Resources.
- 40. Defendant engaged in unlawful retaliation in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1464(A) by discriminating against Ms. Shumway for filing a complaint of discrimination with Human Resources by taking away the controlled credit account number from Ms. Shumway in connection with the major account on which Ms. Shumway was working.
- 41. As a result of Defendant's unlawful discrimination, Ms. Shumway suffered monetary damages for which she should be compensated pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1481(G).
- 42. Further, as a result of Defendant's unlawful retaliation, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief under A.R.S. § 41-1481(G).

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court:

- A. Enter a judgment on behalf of Plaintiff, finding that Defendant unlawfully discriminated against Ms. Shumway in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1463(B)(1).
- B. Enter a judgment on behalf of Plaintiff, finding that Defendant unlawfully discriminated against Ms. Shumway in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1464(A).
- C. Enjoin Defendant, its successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, from engaging in any employment practice involving discrimination on the basis of sex or retaliation.
- D. Grant judgment requiring Defendant to pay to Ms. Shumway appropriate monetary relief, including back pay, in an amount to be determined at trial, including prejudgment interest.

Order any affirmative relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the E. public interest. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs F. which provide equal employment opportunities for all employees of Defendant, and which eradicate the effects of its present unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to policy changes and training. Order the Division to monitor Defendant's compliance with the Arizona G. Civil Rights Act and order Defendant to pay a reasonable amount for such monitoring. Award the Division its costs incurred in bringing this action. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the T. public interest. DATED this 29th day of June 2006. TERRY GODDARD Attorney General Christopher R. Houk Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

299033

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff